UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,219	02/05/2007	Maurice Granger	1759.232 5939	
	7590 09/21/200 IENBERG FARLEY &	EXAMINER		
5 COLUMBIA		NGUYEN, PHONG H		
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	ication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/599,21	9	GRANGER, MAURICE				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			. NGUYEN	3724				
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication</li> <li>Reply</li> </ul>	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
· · _ ·	• •	This action is n	on-final.					
· —	, <del></del>							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction :	and/or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer						
•			ented or h) Objected	d to by the Evami	ner			
	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2007</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	<u>-</u>			(1)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/22/2006</u> .	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, for not clearly describing the invention. For example, the last paragraph in page 11 describes the pinion (P2) meshing with the pinion (P4) (line 26), and the pinion (P7) meshing with the pinion (P4) (line 38). However, Figs. 4 and 5 show the pinion (P2) and the pinion (P7) being spaced apart from the pinion (P4). Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art. Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There are many pinions in the format selector device. It is very confusing for one skilled in the art to connect all the pinions together in the claims.

The language of claim 1 is confusing. For example, the phrase "position of the format selector device allowing emergence of the cutting blade from the drum at each revolution of the drum for a small format and every two revolutions of the drum for a

Art Unit: 3724

large format" is confusing. It appears that the interaction of the pinions causes emergence of the cutting blade from the drum but not placing the format selector device in particular location causes emergence of the cutting blade from the drum.

Or the phrase "the selector device is positioned, from a lateral flange of the housing, on an outside and on an inside of the housing" is confusing. It is unclear how the selector can be located both inside and outside of the flange. And there is insufficient antecedent basis for the term "the selector device" in the claim.

Above are some examples. The Applicant is requested to review all the claims and make appropriate corrections.

#### Comment

4. It is noted that claims 1-11 have not been rejected over prior art. It appears that claim 1 overcomes cited prior art. However, in view of issues under 37 CFR 1.71 and 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, the allowability of claim 1 cannot be commented on at this time.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Granger, Granger and Aubrey et al. teach dispensing device of general interest.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Phong H Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 September 16, 2009